

REMARKS

Applicants were disappointed to receive the continuing rejection when Applicants had discussed this case with the Examiner in a telephone conference on 13 October 2005 and the Examiner had indicated that the amendment was allowable over the prior art. See attached Interview Summary dated 21 October 21 2005. Without rehashing the prior arguments, Applicants have decided to move this case forward by preparing the allowable claims for allowance, since the Examiner has indicated that Claims 6-12 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As such, Claim 1 was amended to include the limitations of Claim 8 and all intervening claims, namely Claims 2, 3, and 5. Further, Claims 4, 6-7, 9-14 have been amended to depend upon Claim 1.

Further, new Claim 20 is added as an independent claim which includes the limitations of Claim 6 and all intervening claims, namely Claims 2, 3, and 5. New Claims 21 and 22 correspond to the originally filed Claims 10 and 14 respectively.

Further, new Claim 23 is added as an independent claim which includes the limitations of Claim 11 and all intervening claims, namely Claims 2, 3, and 5. New Claims 24 and 25 correspond to the originally filed Claims 10 and 14 respectively.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department

Customer Number: 22917

By: 

Indira Saladi
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 45,759
Telephone: 847.576.6735
Fax No.: 847.576.0721